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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=273 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.003 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.045$
$w R$ factor $=0.123$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=18.2$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## 3-(3-Benzoylthioureido)propionic acid

The molecular structure of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$, adopts a cis-trans configuration with respect to the position of the benzoyl and propionic acid groups relative to the S atom across the thiourea $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds, respectively. In the crystal structure, the molecules are linked by weak $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions to form a two-dimensional network perpendicular to the $a$ axis.

## Comment

The molecular dimensions of the title compound, (I), are in agreement with other benzoylthiourea derivatives, PhCONHCSNH $R$, where $R=\mathrm{Ph}$ (Yamin \& Yusof, 2003a), $R=$ $p$-bromophenyl (Yamin \& Yusof, 2003b) and $R=3,4-$ dimethyphenyl (Shanmuga Sundara Raj et al., 1999). The title compound adopts a cis-trans configuration with respect to the position of the propionic acid and benzoyl groups relative to the S atom across the $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 1$ bonds, respectively.


The central carbonyl-thiourea moiety ( $\mathrm{S} 1 / \mathrm{C} 8 / \mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{N} 2 / \mathrm{C} 7$ ), phenyl (C1-C6) and propionic acid [maximum deviation at C 9 of $-0.130(2) \AA$ ] fragments are planar. The central thiourea moiety makes angles with the phenyl and propionic acid fragments of 52.74 (9) and $75.14(11)^{\circ}$, respectively. The phenyl ring is inclined to the propionic acid fragment by $22.46(13)^{\circ}$. There is one intramolecular hydrogen bond, $\mathrm{N} 2-$ $\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ (Table 2) and, as a result, a pseudo-six-membered ring $(\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 2 A)$ is formed. In the crystal structure, the molecules are linked by intermolecular contacts, $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{~S} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ and $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{iii}}$ (see Table 2 for symmetry codes) to form a two-dimensional network perpendicular to the $a$ axis (Fig. 2).

## Experimental

A solution of 3 -aminopropionic acid $(2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetone ( 50 ml ) was added dropwise to 50 ml of an acetone solution containing an equimolar amount of benzoyl isothiocyanate in a twonecked round-bottomed flask. The solution was refluxed for about 2 h and then cooled in ice. The white precipitate was filtered off and washed with ethanol-distilled water, then dried in a vacuum (yield $85 \%$ ). Recrystallization from ethanol yielded single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis.
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Figure 1
The molecular structure of the title compound, (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2
Packing diagram of compound (I), viewed down the $b$ axis. The dashed lines denote $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds.

Crystal data

| $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | $Z=2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=252.29$ | $D_{x}=1.334 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ | Mo K radiation |
| $a=4.5868(9) \AA$ | Cell parameters from 2840 |
| $b=10.582(2) \AA$ | reflections |
| $c=13.080(3) \AA$ | $\theta=1.6-27.5^{\circ}$ |
| $\alpha=94.685(3)^{\circ}$ | $\mu=0.26 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta=91.341(3)^{\circ}$ | $T=273(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\gamma=96.759(3)^{\circ}$ | Slab, colourless |
| $V=628.0(2) \AA^{\circ}$ | $0.58 \times 0.46 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  |  |
| Data collection |  |
| Bruker SMART APEX CCD area- | 2818 independent reflections |
| $\quad$ detector diffractometer | 2363 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $\omega$ scans | $R_{\text {int }}=0.017$ |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$ |
| $\quad(S A D A B S ;$ Sheldrick, 1996) | $h=-5 \rightarrow 5$ |
| $T_{\text {min }}=0.866, T_{\text {max }}=0.955$ | $k=-13 \rightarrow 13$ |
| 7220 measured reflections | $l=-16 \rightarrow 16$ |
|  |  |

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /[ \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0612 P)^{2} \\
&+0.1496 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.27 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.19 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.045$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.123$
$S=1.03$
2818 reflections
155 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$.

| S1-C8 | $1.6728(17)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $1.296(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.222(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.317(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $1.199(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.454(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $127.95(14)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $123.77(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $123.27(15)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $118.97(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $117.26(14)$ |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | 0.86 | 1.99 | $2.656(2)$ | 133 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots 1^{\text {i }}$ | 0.86 | 2.40 | $3.047(2)$ | 132 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{~S}^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.86 | 2.69 | $3.5466(16)$ | 175 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.82 | 1.83 | $2.649(2)$ | 176 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $2-x, 1-y,-z$; (ii) $1-x,-y,-z$; (iii) $1-x, 1-y, 1-z$.
After their location in a difference Fourier map, all H atoms were placed geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent C or N atoms with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93-0.97 \AA$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.86 \AA$.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: $\operatorname{SHELXTL}$; molecular graphics: $S H E L X T L$; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 1990).
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